

AMC

Command Inspection Program

for Force Protection



POLICY SECTION



Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD 1 Command knowledge/interest/emphasis				
Has MSC/activity published appropriate supplemental regulatory guidance?				
STANDARD 2 Assignment of AT/FP responsibility				
Procedures in place to inform persons of who's in charge of AT/FP?				
AT/FP responsible persons informed of unit/ individual arrivals/departures?				
STANDARD #4 FP Committees and Working Groups				
Does AT/FP Committee meet at least semi-annually?				
Do all persons required by AR 525-13 and the commander attend?				
Committee provides commander written record of meetings, maintains records?				

NOTES:

Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
AT/FP Working Group meets frequently during increased threat?					
AT/FP WG develops issues for presentation to AT/FP Committee?					
STANDARD #7 Periodic internal, external reviews					
Annual internal and triennial external review of installation programs?					
Were inspections results documented?					
Were deficiencies corrected?					
STANDARD #8 Designation, assignment and certification of FPO					
Has commander appointed AT/FP officer on orders?					
Does AT/FP Officer have access to the commander when his or her authority is required for action?					

NOTES:

Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Is the AT/FP Officer certified and current?					
STANDARD #12 Command Information Program					
Commander incorporates AT/FP info into command information program?					
AT/FP info disseminated effectively via all available/reasonable means					
Is AT/FP info formatted for the Total Army? (Soldiers, civilians, family members?)					
Is OPSEC considered in all public affairs operations?					
STANDARD #14 Threat and Vulnerability Assessments					
Multi-disciplined assessments done at MSC and installations?					
Entire threat spectrum, including WMD, part of assessments?					

NOTES:

Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Assessment results are criteria for commander's THREATCON measures?					
Assessment results disseminated to affected organizations?					
Specific assessed vulnerabilities reviewed for classification before dissemination?					
STANDARD #20 Resource Management					
Appropriate staff activities help develop program requirements?					
Staffs determine requirements based on standards, threat, vulnerabilities?					
Projects prioritized by coordinated threat/vulnerability at each level of command?					
Project justification includes specific impacts if not completely funded?					
Projects validated and prioritized according to a documented methodology?					

NOTES:

Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Set procedures to change/update annual programs, resource critical requirements?				

NOTES:
Policy/Operations has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

PLANS & TRAINING SECTION



Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD 3 AT/FP programs based on threats/vulnerabilities				
Resources allocated in order to develop appropriate protection?				
Established program with implementing plan and guidance?				
AT/FP plan based on published threat and vulnerability assessment, including WMD?				
Does planning coordinate and synchronize the AT/FP plan and supporting annexes?				
AT/FP included in all phases of deployment planning?				
Tenant units included in planning?				
Interservice support agreements, MOUs, MOAs consider AT/FP?				
Command monitors subordinates' program effectiveness, tracks, fixes deficiencies?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Plan contains AR 190-13 requirements (e.g. bomb threats/base closure)?				
Are plan components tested IAW AR 190-13?				
Risk analysis/mgmt process well defined, understood/incorporated in planning?				
Does AT/FP plan address response to terrorist incident, including response to WMD?				
Does AT/FP plan address post-incident response? Has it been tested?				
Does plan address post-terrorist incident reconstitution? Has it been tested?				
Emergency evacuation procedures in place and are they tested?				
Recognized alarms system with trained persons and appropriate reactions?				
All plans/orders' <i>Enemy Forces</i> paragraphs assess actual threat or state absence?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Plans/orders prescribe threat info/incidents reporting, incident responses				
STANDARD #5 Exercise program to develop/refine procedures				
System exists for AT/FP plan and attack warning, annual test, including downwind WMD test done.				
Do the scenarios involve those staff with AT/FP responsibilities?				
After action procedures include feedback through AT/FP committee to the Cdr?				
Is OPSEC considered in the planning, conduct and evaluation of exercises?				
STANDARD #6 Integration of Army 5-step risk management				
Do Commander and staff understand the process?				
Risk mgmt incorporated in all AT/FP planning/program execution?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Risk mgmt considered in all elements of AT/FP program					
STANDARD #10 Weapons of Mass Destruction Planning					
Is the threat use of WMD assessed?					
Are WMD included in AT/FP plan?					
Does AT/FP plan address potential WMD threats and vulnerabilities?					
Are probable WMD targets identified?					
Plans coordinated with civil authorities, HN Do they play in exercises?					
Do staff duty instructions include WMD threat response procedures?					
Attack warning system addresses WMD? Procedures practiced to proficiency?					

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
Rapid communications provisions for military and all civil officials?					
Medical capabilities in place to respond to prevent/treat injuries.					
STANDARD #11 First Response and Consequence Management					
Are first responders officially designated?					
First responders trained to respond to conventional and WMD?					
Responders have adequate protective equipment, including CDE?					
Responder equipment shortfalls considered in AT/FP training?					
Local hospitals mass casualty/WMD capable, covered by MOA/MOUs?					
Responsibility for patient decontamination, contaminated body disposal assigned in AT/FP plan					

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Location/status of patients tracked?				
MASCAL and WMD scenarios included in AT/FP exercise program				
Are installation staffs integrated into WMD/MASCAL training?				
Are PAO and Chaplain participants in MASCAL/WMD exercises?				
Are adequate resources available to support emergency response?				
Plan addresses WMD/MASCAL scenarios in high density population areas?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #16 Individual Training				
Policies and guidance ensure all associated personnel receive appropriate training?				
Command aware of and implemented DA Level I training policy correctly?				
Program trains all in AT/FP procedures, guidance and regulations?				
AT/FP awareness training incorporates the postulated threat, including WMD?				
CINC's theater-specific predeployment requirements accomplished?				
Validation system that identifies travelers and documents Level I training?				
DoD list of high physical and potential threat countries on hand/disseminated?				
Are AT/FP training materials (videos, GTAs, wallet cards) readily available?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #17 Leader Training				
Are key leaders with AT/FP responsibility appropriately trained?				
STANDARD #18 Hostage Training				
Hostage training being conducted by a certified and current instructor?				
STANDARD #19 Training in support of High Risk Personnel (HRP)				
HRP/drivers offered evasive driving school IAW PSVA assessed threat?				
Supplemental individual protective training for HRP?				
Similar awareness training offered to HRP families?				
Awareness training provided to support staff, such as drivers and aides?				

NOTES:

Plans/Training has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

PMO/LAW ENFORCEMENT SECTION



Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #9 Development of Local Threat Condition Levels				
Process in place to change THREATCON levels, when required?				
Has process been tested within the past two years?				
Can all THREATCONs be implemented? If no, are there procedures to:				
Divert/acquire local emergency assets, IAW Federal law				
Notify higher headquarters of shortfalls				
Enhanced security measures for post housing during heightened THREATCON?				
Continuous review of routine security measure effectiveness under NORMAL				

NOTES:

PMO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
STANDARD #24 Mission Essential Vulnerable Areas (MEVAs)					
MEVAs identified, prioritized, and approved by commander IAW AR 190-13?					
Periodic reviews IAW AR 190-13 to update areas designated as MEVAs?					
Physical Security Inspections of MEVAs conducted IAW AR 190-13?					
STANDARD #25 Restricted Areas					
Restricted areas identified and designated IAW AR 190-13?					
Are restricted areas correctly posted?					
STANDARD #26 Random Antiterrorism Measures Program(RAMP)					
Variable vehicle searches, inspections documented, observable security changes?					

NOTES:

PMO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
RAMP thoroughly planned, ensures random, unpredictable implementation?				
RAMP varies types of measures and their schedule of employment?				
STANDARD #27 Residential Security Assessment-Off Post Housing				
Assessments conducted on initial occupancy and periodically thereafter?				
Current TDY and permanent residences list maintained, safeguarded, in AT/FP plan?				
STANDARD #28 Facility and Site Evaluation/Selection Criteria				
Commander reviews/approves prioritized list of AT/FP factors for site selection teams?				
List in use?				

NOTES:

PMO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #29 Law Enforcement Operations				
PM/SO adequately resourced for law enforcement operations ISO AT/FP program?				
Adequate emergency, threat, THREATCON CONPLANS developed and exercised?				
Each command/activity supporting plans helps create, receives copy of plans?				
Commander receives exercise results from AT/FP committee?				
Plans address law enforcement backfill during deployments?				
PM/SO plans detail organic/augmentation security force responsibilities?				
Plans detail increased security force requirements for higher THREATCON?				

NOTES:

PMO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
STANDARD #30 Law Enforcement Liaison					
Security Plan coordination includes:					
Coordination with applicable Federal, state, local, host nation officials?					
Outlines deployment movement, security, jurisdictional responsibilities?					
Liaison/procedures ensure receipt, spread of time-sensitive information?					
Information gained coordinated through AT/FP committee process?					
Law enforcement opns coordinated with appropriate civilian agencies?					
STANDARD #31 ID and Designation of High Risk Personnel					
Formal procedure, and have HRP been designated?					

NOTES:

PMO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Periodic review of HRP designated persons?				
If installation has authority for HRP 2 designation - written copy on hand?				
STANDARD #32 Protective Measures for Safeguarding HRP				
HRP offered personal security vulnerability assessments by CID?				
Protective Services Details available to protect Level 1 HRP?				
Hardened cars available to support Level 1 HRP?				
Survival equipment available for HRP, to include Chemical Defense Equipment?				
Safe havens established in quarters and offices? Alarms installed in quarters and offices?				
Military Working Dogs available to conduct explosives detection sweeps?				

NOTES:

PMO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

INFORMATION ASSURANCE SECTION



Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #21 (CIO) C2 Protect Integration and Training				
AR380-19 continuous opns assessment/analysis integrated in AT/FP plans?				
Adherence to/integration AR380-53 security monitoring, exploitation, penetration?				
C2 Protect and AR 25-XX components integrated into AT/FP Program?				
OPSEC?				
Physical security?				
Intelligence?				
ISS?				
Counter-deception?				

NOTES:

CIO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Counter-PSYOPS?				
Network incidents reviewed and trends developed indicating weaknesses?				
C2 Protect representative active on command AT/FP committee?				
System administrator incident reporting procedures, IAW AR380-19?				
Warning system devised to alert command to incidents?				
Command familiar with roles of LIWA/ACERT?				
OPSEC plan includes 530-1 provisions, threats ID'ed, OPSEC training?				
C2 Protect components in threat briefs, assessments for the command?				
Is there a computer security awareness program?				

NOTES:

CIO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Command monitors C2P integration effectiveness at subordinate levels?				
System, network administrators trained, IAW AR 380-19?				
Does available training meet program requirements?				
Training plan to ensure continual operations during major disruptions?				
All persons trained, familiar w/OPSEC responsibilities, IAW AR 530-1?				
Appropriate security personnel appointed and trained (ISSO or NSO)?				

NOTES:

CIO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #22) C2 Protect Threat and Vulnerability Assessments				
Registered in terminal Server Access Controller System for Army tool set access?				
Requirements for tools identified?				
ACERT incident reporting procedures in place and incidents reported?				
Do all administrators receive DISA Incident Support Team Bulletins?				
OPSEC process used to ID threats, vulnerabilities to communications systems?				
ISS procedures routinely reviewed and tested?				
ISS training performed at appropriate levels?				
Security incidents/violations (virus, unauthorized entry attempt, password compromise)				

NOTES:

CIO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Analyzed, reviewed, investigated?				
Reported IAW AR 380-19, AR 25-XX and ACERT procedures?				
Security measures employed to control external access?				
Do all systems use an automated audit capability (to log security related events)?				
Identification and authentication required to enter all systems?				
OPSEC process applied in countermeasure development for communications structure?				
Army Communications infrastructure vulnerability assessments done?				
By authorized Army activities/approved contractors using US citizens only?				
Countermeasures identified, in place, based on vulnerability assessments?				

NOTES:

CIO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
Is there a written security plan to document implementation of countermeasures?				
Are sufficient secure communications available to the command?				

NOTES:
CIO has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

MILITARY INTELLIGENCE SECTION



Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

		FP STATUS			
REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	YES	NO	NA	REMARKS	
STANDARD #13 Collection of AT/FP Intelligence Information					
MI Collection operations consistent with AR 381-10 and other regulations?					
Law Enforcement collection opns IAW AR 380-13, DoDD 5200.27, others?					
CONUS intel/info integration outside MI office, IAW AR 381-10, other regs?					
Connectivity to receive all source threat info/intel (ATOIC, FBI, Intelink, other resources)?					
Has commander established PIR?					
Are commander's PIR the basis for production requirements?					
Do sufficient persons have appropriate levels of security clearances to support the mission?					
DoD Terrorist Threat Level Classification system used in overseas countries?					

NOTES:

Intel/MI has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #15 Dissemination of AT/FP Intelligence Information				
Threat information coordinated with staff elements involved in AT/FP program?				
All-hours procedures for disseminating threat up, down, lateral?				
Same standard threat information provided to military, DoD civilians, contractors?				

NOTES:
Intel/MI has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.

SECURITY ENGINEERING SECTION



Command/Installation/Activity: _____

Date: _____

REVIEWED AREA/ITEM	FP STATUS			REMARKS
	YES	NO	NA	
STANDARD #23 Security Engineering/Security Measures				
Installation master plan, site selection consider Phys. Security, THREATCON?				
Vulnerability assessment results used for installation construction design program?				
Risk analyses, AT/FP measures considered for new/existing/probable MEVAs?				
Local engineers aware of security design engineering assistance?				
Detection, assessment, warning measures integrated with defense measures for conventional and WMD?				
Security engineering surveys used for new or renovation construction?				
Risk/threat analysis used to develop specific measures beyond those in regulations?				
TMs 5-853-1 thru 5-853-4 used to development, employment of security measures?				

NOTES:

Engineer has primary responsibility, but takes input from all areas for evaluation of the FP Standard.