

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333-0001

AMC Circular
No. 5-6

28 January 2002

Expires: 31 December 2003

Management

CONTRACTED ADVISORY AND ASSISTANCE SERVICES (CAAS)

Supplementation of this circular is permitted but not required. If supplements are issued, major subordinate commands and separate activities reporting directly to HQ AMC shall furnish one copy to the Commander, AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC.

	Paragraph	Page
Purpose	1	2
Scope	2	2
References	3	2
General	4	2
Explanation of terms and abbreviations	5	3
Exemptions	6	3
Policy	7	4
Responsibilities	8	10
Reporting	9	13

APPENDIX			
A	References		A-1
B	CAAS Life Cycle Model		B-1
C	CAAS Determination Questionnaire		C-1
D	Management Controls		D-1
E	Sample Format for Soliciting In-house Capability		E-1
F	Format – Management Decision Document (MDD) & Extension		F-1
G	Format and Instructions – Statement of Work (SOW)		G-1
H	Format and Instructions – Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE)		H-1

*This circular supersedes AMC-C 5-6, 19 May 2000.

APPENDIX	I	Format - Justification for Other Than Full and Open Competition; and Criteria for Determining Whether a Proposed Noncompetitive Acquisition is Justified	I-1
	J	Checklist - Criteria for Processing MDDs	J-1
	K	Format - Evaluation of Army Management and Contractor Performance	K-1
	L	Instructions - Schedule 10	L-1
	M	Matrix - CAAS Milestones and Reports	M-1
	N	Checklist - Policy Compliance Review	N-1
GLOSSARY			GLOSSARY-1
INDEX			INDEX-1

1. **Purpose.** The purpose of this circular is to establish responsibility and provide guidance for uniform, responsive, and effective contracted advisory and assistance services throughout the U.S. Army Materiel Command (AMC).

2. **Scope.** This circular applies to the U.S. Army Materiel Command Headquarters, Major Subordinate Commands (MSC), and Separate Reporting Activities (SRAs), and installations.

3. **References.** Related publications are listed at Appendix A. For compliance with this circular, the key references are DOD Directive 4205.2, Acquiring and Managing Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services, and AR 5-14, Management of Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services.

4. **General.** The Government relies on the private sector for a wide range of services from routine support functions to highly specialized professional, technical, and managerial advice. AMC managers have an ongoing need to support the Army’s mission in the most effective, efficient, and economical method possible, while ensuring good stewardship of public funds. Additionally, service contracts are continually under the scrutiny of the Congress, Office of Management and Budget, and Department of Defense. As a result, adequate management control procedures are necessary to ensure both valid requirements and quality contractor performance of services.

a. The Strom Thurman National Defense Act of Fiscal Year (FY) 1999 requires that service contracts be identified by element of resource codes and a separate object classification in the annual budget submission to Congress.

b. Department of the Army requires advisory and assistance services to be identified in object classification 25.1.

c. Commands have appointed CAAS Directors and Coordinators to execute oversight functions of the AMC CAAS program.

5. Explanation of terms and abbreviations.

a. Advisory and assistance services are acquired by contract from sources defined as being outside of the U.S. Federal Government. These sources include Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), foreign governments, state and local governments, tribes, and other nonprofit organizations). These services are acquired to:

- Support or improve organization policy development, decision making, management and administration, program and/or project management and administration, or to;
- Improve the effectiveness of management processes or procedures.

Services include furnishing of professional advice or assistance rendered to improve the effectiveness of Federal management processes or procedures (including those of an engineering and technical nature). The products of CAAS generally take the form of information, advice, opinions, alternatives, analyses, evaluations, recommendations, training, and day-to-day aid of support personnel needed for the successful performance of ongoing Federal operations. The three CAAS categories and budget/Schedule 10 identifiers are Management and Professional Support (MPS) (0001), Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations (SAE) (0002), and Engineering and Technical Services (ETS) (0003). The requiring activity is the initiator of the contract.

b. CAAS determinations are made based on the services required, not on the funding appropriation, program element, total cost, length of service, or type of contract. These services include all funding appropriations (Operations and Maintenance, Army (OMA), Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDTE), Procurement Accounts (PROC), and Army Working Capital Funds (AWCF)). There is no minimum or maximum dollar threshold for reporting CAAS. All contracts for advisory or assistance services, regardless of dollar value, and including task/delivery orders and contract modifications, must be identified with a CAAS Element of Resource (EOR) Code and reported in compliance with CAAS policy.

c. Definitions and related terms used in this circular are identified in the glossary.

d. Key milestones in the CAAS process are defined at Appendix B.

6. **Exemptions.** These are not detailed descriptions. See Appendix C for more information.

a. Information technology advisory and assistance services which have large scale system acquisition and integration or large scale software development as their primary focus.

b. Architectural and engineering services as defined in the FAR, section 36.102, and 40 U.S. C. 541.

c. Personnel appointments and advisory committees.

- d. Research on theoretical mathematics and basic research involving medical, biological, physical, social, psychological, or other phenomena.
- e. Day to day operation of facilities and housekeeping services and functions when done by contract with the private sector or another Federal Government account.
- f. Basic operation and management contracts for Government-owned, contractor-operated (GOCO) facilities.
- g. Clinical and medical facilities for direct health care.
- h. Routine maintenance of systems, equipment, and software.
- i. Printing and reproduction obtained from the private sector or other Federal entities, including publication of notices, advertising, and radio and television time.
- j. Services supporting the policy development, management, and administration of Foreign Military Sales (FMS) programs that are not paid for with funds appropriated by Congress.
- k. Activities that are reviewed in accordance with OMB Circular A-76, Performance of Commercial Activities.
- l. Initial training services acquired as an integral part of the procurement of weapons systems; and automated data processing (ADP) systems, equipment, or components.
- m. Training for individual professional development.
- n. Services in support of Defense Environmental Restoration efforts.
- o. Auctioneers, realty brokers, appraisers, and surveyors.
- p. Information technology systems resources identified in the Schedule 80, "Automation". More detail on the Schedule 80 is in the publication DFAS 37-100, which is on the internet at <http://www.asafm.army.mil/secretariat/document/dfas37-100/dfas37-100.asp>.
- q. Services acquired by or for a program office to increase the design performance capabilities of new or existing systems, or where they are integral to the logistics support and maintenance of a system or major component and/or end item of equipment essential to the operation of the system before final Government acceptance of a complete hardware system.

7. **Policy.** The following guidelines shall apply when contracting for advisory and assistance services. Failure to comply with the guidelines can lead to lack of competition, higher costs, vague and incomplete work statements, and possible conflicts of interest. Failure to properly identify, report, and manage CAAS could result in increased Congressional oversight. Each

proposed CAAS effort should have a valid requirement, available resources, and a well-written Statement of Work (SOW). The SOW must contain enough detail to ensure that the contractor can develop a plan to meet the objectives of the effort and that the Army can measure contractor performance against the objectives. It must specify that the contractor must submit written progress reports to the contracting officer (and Contracting Officer Representative if used) and the frequency of the reports. It must also specify the desired content of the reports (e.g., technical progress and funds expenditure). The format of, and instructions for preparing a SOW are in Appendix G. Following are the steps needed to meet the requirements of this Circular.

a. Identify advisory and assistance services. All procurement requests (including classified contractual efforts) shall be screened to identify services subject to the provisions of this circular. Advisory and assistance services shall be obtained on an intermittent or temporary basis (periods of less than 5 years). Contracts extending beyond five years will be reviewed and approved by the command CAAS Director or designee.

(1) Each requiring activity has the initial responsibility to identify and report the advisory and assistance services in all contractual actions.

(a) The questionnaire at Appendix C shall be used to determine if a requirement is CAAS. Assistance is available through the organization's CAAS coordinator.

(b) If an organization determines that a proposed contract action is exempt from CAAS requirements, it will retain documentation that clearly cites the specific exemption from Appendix C as the basis for its decision.

(c) Activities shall use the management controls in Appendix D to review and analyze requirements for service contracts.

(2) While CAAS identification is the responsibility of the requiring activity, the contracting office should screen all procurement requests for compliance with CAAS requirements. The contracting office should notify the CAAS Director of those contracts that are not identified as CAAS but appear to meet CAAS requirements.

(3) The organization's CAAS Director is the final authority for determining if a service contract or any of its parts is CAAS.

b. Assess in-house capability. Prior to acquiring CAAS, requiring activities shall assess in-house Army capability and document, on a case-by-case basis, the in-house sources contacted, including other agencies or commands, and the availability of employees with the required expertise. A sample memorandum for conducting an in-house search is at Appendix E.

(1) Results of the search shall be part of the document described in paragraph 7c.

(2) Contact shall include AMC and other Army schools, Research and Development Laboratories and Centers, U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity, U.S. AMC Management Engineering Activity, and other military department activities.

(3) If an in-house capability exists, a service contract shall not be initiated.

c. Prepare a Management Decision Document (MDD). Contracts for advisory and assistance services shall not be awarded without an approved MDD. The MDD is a management tool to assist managers in fulfilling their fiduciary responsibility in managing CAAS. It is key to the evaluation of benefits versus cost and risks. The format of and instructions for completing the MDD are in Appendix F.

(1) The MDD shall be used to document the coordination and approval process of all CAAS, regardless of dollar value. Use the checklist at Appendix J for documentation of the process.

(2) All data elements must be addressed completely and accurately. The MDD should be a stand-alone document if detached from the support data. The SOW and the Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE) should be the only element of the Discussion section that is an attachment to the MDD. All of the other discussion elements should be contained within the MDD. This will help to expedite the review and coordination process.

(3) A MDD shall be prepared for all CAAS efforts including basic agreements, task and delivery orders, follow-on contracts, and modifications (if the scope of work changes). Extensions of engineering and technical support contracts also require the preparation of an MDD. When in doubt, prepare a new MDD to ensure management approval.

(a) Task Order Contracts. Only one MDD, referred to as a “Class MDD”, is required when the SOW for the base contract, Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract, Blanket Purchase Agreement (BPA), or Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA) clearly defines services to be performed; where the MDD provides adequate certification that a single element of resource (EOR) and Federal Supply Class (FSC) Code applies to the base contract and the individual task orders; and where cost of individual task orders are covered in the total cost estimate in the base contract MDD. Requiring activities will designate an individual (e.g., the COR or COTR) to provide oversight of Class MDDs to ensure that only qualified task orders are issued under the coverage of the Class MDD. Task orders that differ in one or more of these criteria must be supported by a separate MDD.

(b) Individual Task Orders. A MDD is required for each task order where paragraph (a) does not apply; where the base contract SOW is generic in nature and does not specify the specific type of services to be acquired by task order, or the individual task order SOW describes requirements not stated in the base contract SOW; and where task order EOR, FSC, and costs differentiate or are not stated in the base contract MDD.

d. Conduct literature search. Prior to initiating a study contract, conduct a literature search through the supporting technical library. The search will help activities avoid duplication of effort, minimize waste of scarce resources, and aid in defining scope and problems. See Appendix B for recommended systems to search.

e. Identify CAAS category, resource, and supply class and project codes in the MDD. The type of services being requested shall be properly identified in the MDD prior to coordination. The three CAAS categories, Management and Professional Support (MPS); Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations (SAE); and Engineering and Technical Support (ETS), are defined in the glossary.

(1) Category. Identify the category in the subject line of the MDD (e.g., SAE, Streamlining AMC; MPS, Technical Data Collection; or ETS, Contractor Field Service Representative). (See Appendixes C and F.)

(2) Element of Resource (EOR). Identify the applicable resource code in the MDD. Resource codes (defined in the Defense Finance and Accounting System (DFAS) Manual 37-100-XX, The Army Management Structure) are critical in properly accounting for CAAS. (See Appendixes C and F.)

(3) Federal Supply Class (FSC) Code. The requiring activity shall recommend the FSC Code in the MDD. These codes are defined in Appendix C.

(4) Project Unique Identification Code (PUIC). A PUIC shall be assigned to each CAAS effort by the organization's CAAS Coordinator and entered on the top right of the first page of the MDD prior to coordination. The project code is critical in identifying and tracking the individual CAAS efforts therefore a new project code shall be assigned to each effort (including task and delivery orders). For continuity, the project codes for the basic contract and the task order may both be annotated in the MDD. The PUIC is also entered on the corresponding Schedule 10. (See glossary.)

f. Coordinate with the CAAS Coordinator (pre-approval). Any contract whose principal product is a written report, briefing, advice, or assistance (management, engineering, or technical) must be coordinated with the organization's CAAS Coordinator (at HQ AMC in the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Research, Development, and Acquisition).

(1) The organization's CAAS Coordinator is responsible for reviewing all CAAS actions and for implementing the requirements of this circular for CAAS oversight. The review shall ensure that the documentation is complete and that the need for outside assistance has been vigorously challenged. Classified efforts will be handled on a case-by-case basis by the sponsor, CAAS Coordinator, and local security office.

(2) This policy applies to all such contracts paid for with money programmed and budgeted by AMC regardless of appropriation or procuring organization.

g. Identify and coordinate with the correct approval authority. Management approval is mandatory for all CAAS requirements.

(1) If the proposed contract requirement is estimated below the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT), the MDD approval authority shall be one level above the requiring activity (levels being branch, division, Deputy Chief of Staff, Chief of Staff, Commander).

(2) Contractual CAAS requirements above the SAT must be approved by a General Officer (GO) or member of the Senior Executive Service (SES) or a colonel (O-6) occupying a Commanding Officer position. Where SES personnel are subordinate to a commander at the O-6 grade, the approval authority to the O-6 commander. Approval authority may also be delegated to non-GO/SES personnel (GS/GM-15) that are acting or detailed into a GO/SES position.

(3) If the cost of a proposed study (SAE, Category II, Identifier 0002) exceeds \$250,000, the service contract must be sent through HQ AMC (AMCRDA-AC) for Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA) approval.

h. Coordinate with the CAAS Contract Point of Contact (post-approval). Subsequent to coordination and approval, all contract actions which include CAAS requirements shall be submitted directly to the CAAS Contract Point of Contact (see paragraph 8) located in the organization's acquisition office for review and assignment to the appropriate contracting section.

i. Prepare an Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE). Prior to issuing a solicitation, the requiring activity shall develop an estimate of the price of the services to be purchased. The cost estimate shall be attached to the MDD prior to coordination. The format for the IGCE is at Appendix H.

j. Use full and open competition. Contracts for CAAS shall be awarded through the use of full and open competition unless the conditions in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR 6.3) for Other Than Full and Open Competition have been met. Noncompetitive contracts require a justification, which must accompany the MDD and SOW during the coordination process. (See Appendix I.)

k. Identify embedded CAAS. Except in special cases (e.g., if the action is administratively impractical and results in increased costs and loss of efficiency), all CAAS as defined herein shall be procured only through a contract dedicated solely to these purposes.

(1) Requiring activities are responsible for identifying embedded CAAS in predominantly non-CAAS contracts. Assistance and advice shall be obtained from the CAAS Coordinator and the acquisition and legal offices.

(2) When CAAS must be procured in a predominantly non-CAAS contract, the CAAS portion will be separately identified, separately priced, and will be assigned a separate contract line item number.

(3) Nonseverable, embedded/incidental services that might conceivably be categorized as CAAS need not be discretely managed under this directive if the action is administratively impractical and results in increased costs and loss of efficiency.

l. Use small/disadvantaged businesses when appropriate. It is the policy of the Government to place a fair proportion of its acquisitions, including contracts and subcontracts for subsystems, assemblies, components, and related services for major systems, with small business concerns and small disadvantaged business concerns (FAR 19.2, Small Business Programs Policies). The DOD FAR Supplement (Subparts 219.2 and 226.7) furthers the policy of placing a fair proportion of its acquisitions with Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) concerns, Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU), and Minority Institutions (MI).

m. Use matrix support to the Program/Project Manager and Program Executive Office (PEO) when appropriate. AMC CAAS efforts include AMC service contracts and those in specific support of the AMC mission of providing development and acquisition support to the PEO organization (i.e., matrix support). Matrix support is functional support (such as cost analysis, software engineering, and logistics support) from subordinate commands. When subordinate commands don't have adequate in-house resources, they may contract for matrix support.

(1) PEOs are not staffed or funded to duplicate or replace support that AMC is functionally staffed to provide. MDDs for CAAS, obtained through a MSC contract, shall be prepared by the MSC/SRA functional element with the responsibility to perform that type of development or acquisition support. The PEO should normally prepare the SOW. The approval authority for the MDD is within the MSC/SRA chain of command. Dual approval authority by both the PEO and the MSC/SRA is authorized and may be appropriate.

(2) AMC shall not duplicate any of the PEO management responsibilities. MDDs for CAAS, obtained through a PEO contract and prepared by project/program managers assigned to a PEO, are submitted to the PEO for management approval (not AMC). Contracting Officers shall not process a CAAS contract (or a task order that acquires CAAS) without an approved MDD.

(3) Memorandum of agreement/understanding shall be developed, when deemed necessary, between the PEO and MSC. The documents should address coordination requirements.

(4) MSC/SRA shall provide specific guidance to PEO when requested.

n. Forward copies of contracted studies to the Defense Information Technical Center (DTIC). For instructions and copies of necessary forms, see the DTIC web site at "www.dtic.mil/dtic/submitting/contribute.html". The DTIC web site refers to DoD Directive 5230.24, Enclosure 3, which can be found at the web site of Washington Headquarters Services, Directives and Records Branch: "web7.whs.osd.mil/corres.htm". Use that enclosure to determine the correct distribution code and statement to assign to the contracted study.

o. Prepare budget submissions. Procedures shall be established to ensure funds for CAAS are identified in the AMC annual budget submission. (See Schedule 10 instructions, Appendix L.)

p. Conduct a periodic contract review. CAAS contracts may not be continued for longer than 5 years without review by the requiring activity for compliance with this circular.

q. Identify CAAS requirements in the Command Operating Plan (COP). Each command's CAAS Coordinator shall maintain an operating plan that identifies projected and ongoing CAAS efforts for a specified fiscal year. The Schedule 10 shall provide the initial input. The COP shall be kept current at all times and shall be filed with documents which describe the requirement, justify the need, estimate the cost, justify contracts for other than full and open competition, and explain why contractual services are needed to satisfy the requirement. Examples of required documentation include the MDD, SOW, IGCE, and the Justification and Approval (J&A).

8. Responsibilities. Every manager has the responsibility to conscientiously manage CAAS.

a. Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

(1) The Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Research, Development and Acquisition (ADCSRDA) is the Command CAAS Director and is responsible for the overall management.

(2) The AMC CAAS Coordinator shall --

(a) Provide technical advice and guidance to subordinate commands, activities, and HQ AMC staff elements on all aspects of CAAS.

(b) Coordinate staff review and approval of all MDDs that require approval by a management official at HQ AMC or HQDA.

(c) Serve as the office of record and maintain a master file of all HQ AMC MDDs.

(d) Solicit, consolidate, and finalize data for inclusion in the budget program resource review (Schedule 10) for all planned CAAS falling within the scope of AR 5-14. Schedule 10 also serves as the basis of each Command Operating Plan.

(e) Submit copies of the AMC CAAS annual budget submission to the Army CAAS Director, Deputy Under Secretary of the Army for Operations Research (DUSA(OR)), through the Study Program Office (SPO).

(f) Evaluate the use and application of CAAS.

(3) The Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistic and Operations is responsible for monitoring and providing staff direction command-wide for CAAS efforts pertaining to engineering and technical services (ETS) for the Logistic Assistance Program (LAP) in AMC.

b. Commanders/Directors of MSCs and separate installations/activities reporting directly to HQ AMC are responsible for the following, for all CAAS:

(1) Monitor the planning and conduct of CAAS by appointing a staff element head as the organization's CAAS Director. At the discretion of the MSC/SRA heads, CAAS Directors (and/or CAAS Coordinators) may be appointed at subordinate organizations to perform the responsibilities detailed in this circular.

(2) Designate approval authorities within the organization for management approval of CAAS required by the organization.

(3) Ensure that each separate office responsible for contracting has a CAAS Contract Point of Contact designated. Designation of a CAAS Contract Point of Contact will ensure continuity in the CAAS oversight process. For commands with multiple sites, one CAAS Contract Point of Contact may be designated to correspond to each CAAS Director, provided one is appointed as the primary point of coordination for purposes of communication with higher headquarters when a unified procurement position is required.

c. MSC/SRA CAAS Directors shall--

(1) Ensure compliance with the provisions of this circular and other CAAS regulatory documents. (See Appendix A.)

(2) Appoint a member of their staff to perform the functions of CAAS Coordinator.

d. MSC/SRA CAAS Coordinators shall--

(1) Execute oversight functions and serve as liaison between requiring, resource, and procurement activities. A Policy Compliance Review Checklist is provided (Appendix N) to help ensure your CAAS program complies with regulation and would stand the test of an audit/inspection.

(2) Ensure that appropriate management controls are established to identify all requirements for CAAS and that all service (not hardware) procurement requests are screened for CAAS items using the questionnaire (Appendix C), management controls (Appendix D), and criteria for processing a MDD (Appendix J).

(3) Ensure that the contracts deemed to be exempt from the management controls of AR 5-14 are documented using the CAAS Determination Questionnaire (Appendix C of this circular).

(4) Establish a process to review all CAAS procurement requests prior to submission for approval, ensuring that the total requirements package (i.e., MDD, SOW, justification for other than full and open competition (if applicable), independent government cost estimate (Appendix H), and Statement of Urgency (if applicable)) is in the proper format and complies with established CAAS policy.

(5) Review MDDs for resource utilization, ensure that in-house capability assessments are valid and that CAAS are only acquired when essential to the command's mission.

(6) Ensure SOW describes the required services clearly and distinctly. (See SOW format, Appendix G.)

(7) Maintain a command operating plan that consists of all approved CAAS efforts. (See paragraph 7.)

(8) Oversee the preparation of, review, recommend approval of, and submit the organization's CAAS annual budget (Schedule 10). (See paragraph 9.)

(9) Track project status and funds obligations for each individual contract effort.

(10) Ensure accurate and timely reporting of appropriate CAAS actions to DTIC, Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange (DLSIE), and other relevant data repositories, if applicable.

(11) Ensure contracting, purchasing, and finance and accounting offices have sufficient information to enable them to correctly code individual procurement actions, reports, and accounting records.

(12) Ensure CAAS reporting requirements are met. (See paragraph 9.)

(13) Ensure that all CAAS contracts, which continue beyond 5 years are reviewed for compliance with this circular.

e. CAAS Contract Points of Contact within the Contracting Activity shall--

(1) Serve as the point of entry for all CAAS requirements coming into each command's procurement/contracting office for contract execution.

(2) Ensure that each CAAS contract requirements package is in the proper format and complies with established CAAS policy.

(3) Review each CAAS requirement for maximum consolidation with other similar requirements. The principal CAAS Contract Point of Contact, where applicable, shall ensure optimum consolidation between sites, as well as within a single location.

(4) Assign each CAAS requirement to the appropriate contracting section based on the above review and track the progress of each action through contract execution.

(5) Serve as needed, but at least during the review of the CAAS annual budget submission, in a planning role in coordination with the CAAS Coordinator in order to establish requirements-type contracts for appropriate groups of efforts.

(6) Ensure Contracting Officer reporting requirements, which are part of CAAS oversight reporting requirements are fulfilled and forwarded, as required.

f. Activities requiring CAAS shall follow guidance in this circular in developing, processing, managing, and evaluating CAAS for which they have been assigned responsibility. (See CAAS Life Cycle Model, Appendix B.)

9. Reporting.

a. A matrix of reporting requirements is shown at Appendix M.

b. Management Evaluation. Requiring activities shall prepare a written management evaluation for all CAAS efforts within 30 days after completion (or termination) of the contract. The evaluation shall discuss the effectiveness of the contractor in performing the effort and the requiring activity's management and support of the effort. A copy of the evaluation shall be provided the contracting officer for inclusion in the official file. (See evaluation format at Appendix K.)

c. Engineering and Technical Services Evaluation. An evaluation shall be prepared for each completed ETS contract and a copy forwarded to HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCLG. (See evaluation format at Appendix K.)

d. Report Documentation. A Report Documentation Page (Standard Form 298) shall be prepared by the requiring activity within 30 days of completion of each Category II (SAE) and Category I (MPS) effort that results in a formal report. (See instructions in the next paragraph.)

e. Final Report. The requiring activity shall submit four copies of the final report (Category II (SAE) and Category I (MPS) efforts that result in a formal report) and Standard Form 298 to the official library supporting the organization (or to the activity to which this function is assigned, if not assigned to the library). Distribution shall be as follows: Two copies to DTIC, one copy to the Army Library, one copy to be retained by the official library supporting the organization. If the final report is in a non-print format, prepare a DTIC Form 530, Non-print Form for copies that are addressed to DTIC. This form is available from the DTIC web site; the address is in paragraph 7 of this circular. (AR 5-5, AR 5-14.)

f. Schedule 10. Commands shall input Schedules 10 (Advisory And Assistance Services) as part of the AMC CAAS budget submission. Detailed instructions are published electronically each year. General instructions for submitting the schedules are at Appendix L. The schedules

are used for development, presentation, and defense of AMC's budget to HQDA. Army incorporates Schedule 10 data into the President's Budget Exhibit (No. 15). All appropriations and funds must be accounted for (OMA, RDTE, PROC, and AWCF).

g. Other. Any other forms (i.e., DD 448, DD 1262) that relate to, or support, a particular CAAS effort shall be attached to the MDD prior to coordination. (See Appendix A.)

The proponent of this circular is the U.S. Army Materiel Command. Users are invited to send comments and suggested improvements on DA Form 2028 (Recommended Changes to Publications and Blank Forms) to the Commander, HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-0001.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

OFFICIAL:



CAROLYN GEBRE
Acting Chief, Printing and
Publications Branch

RICHARD A. HACK
Major General, USA
Chief of Staff

DISTRIBUTION:

Initial Distr H (43) 1 ea HQ Acty/Staff Ofc
LEAD (SDSLE-DOI) (2)
AMCRDA-AC (30)
Separate Reporting Activities (SRA) (2 ea)
AMCOM/AMSAM-RM-FD (4)
AMCOM/AMSAM-SMO (Library) (4)
ARL/AMSRL-CI-TG (4)
CBDCOM/AMSCB-CIR (4)
CECOM/AMSEL-IM-BM-I (4)
OSC/AMSIO-IML (4)
LOGSA/AMXLS-IM (4)
SSCOM/AMSSC-S-IMS (4)
STRICOM/MSTI-CS (4)
TACOM/AMSTA-DRM (4)
USASAC/AMSAC-IM-O (4)

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

A-1. Required Publications.

AR 5-5, Army Studies and Analyses.

AR 5-14, Management of Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services.

AR 5-20, Commercial Activities Program (implements OMB Circular A-76).

AR 70-9, Army Research and Development Information System Programming Planning and Ongoing Work Reporting.

DA PAM 5-5, Guidance for Army Study Sponsors, Sponsor's Study Directors, Study Advisory Groups, and Contracting Officer Representatives.

DFAS Manual 37-100-XX, Army Management Structure.

DODD 4205.2, Acquiring and Managing Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services.

DODD 5105.4, DOD Federal Advisory Committee Management Program, 5 September 1989.

FAR, Part 37, Service Contracting (also see Service Contracting in DFARS, AFARS).

OFPP Policy Letter 93-1 (Reissue), Management Oversight of Service Contracting.

OFPP Policy Letter 92-1, Inherently Governmental Functions.

OFPP Policy Letter 89-1, Conflicts of Interest Applicable to Consultants.

OMB Cir A-76, Performance of Commercial Activities, 4 August 1983.

OMB Cir A-11, Preparation and Submission of Budget Estimates.

Public Law 102-394, Element of Resource (EOR) and Object Classification

MIL-HDBK-245B, Preparation of Statement of Work, 1 June 1983.

A-2. Related Publications.

AR 420-10, Facilities Engineering: Management of Installation Directorates of Engineering and Housing.

AR 700-4, Logistics Assistance Program.

A-3. Referenced Forms.

DD Form 350, Individual Contracting Action Report.

DD Form 448, Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR).

DD Form 1155, Order for Supplies or Services. (appendix B)

DD Form 1262, Administrative Service Request.

DD Form 1423, Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL).

DD Form 1664, Data Item Description (DID).

Schedule 10, Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (CAAS).

Standard Form 298, Report Documentation Page.

	ReAct	CAAS Coord	Library	Contr Ofcr
<input type="checkbox"/> Ensure Element of Resource (EOR) code in fund cite is accurate (and matches EOR cited in the MDD). <input type="checkbox"/> Use corresponding EOR and Federal Supply Class Codes.				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <p>■ Prepare Statement of Work (SOW) ----- Preparation of the SOW is critical to the procurement. <input type="checkbox"/> Ensure details of SOW accurately and completely describe the intended services, costs, and deliverables.</p> <p>■ Coordinate with Contracting Office ----- Informal coordination with contracting office to review schedules, funding, contents of the SOW, acquisition strategy, need for a contracting officer's representative.</p> <p>■ Task Orders Let Under Existing Basic Contracts ----- Ensure task order documents are being developed in consonance with the support contract requirements and there are no conflicts.</p> <p>■ Falls Within Definition of CAAS ----- Coordinate with the organization's CAAS Coordinator to determine if the work to be contracted falls within CAAS guidelines.</p> <p>■ Obtain Management Approval ----- <input type="checkbox"/> Develop Management Decision Document (MDD) - The MDD is required to obtain formal management approval of all CAAS requirements. <input type="checkbox"/> Prepare Statement of Urgency (If appropriate) ----- If buy is urgent, a waiver to the requirement for a synopsis of the solicitation in the CBD may be requested by Principal Contracting Officer (PCO). To prepare the waiver, the PCO needs a statement of urgency prepared by the ReAct as supporting documentation for the files. <input type="checkbox"/> Develop Justification ----- If acquisition is for other than full and open competition, a justification must accompany MDD. <input type="checkbox"/> Obtain Project Unique Identification Code (PUIC) – (Example: AMSEL-S-005) PUIC is made up of office symbol of ReAct, letter that symbolizes FY dollars being obligated (U=00, V=01, W=02), and a 3-digit agency</p> 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>	<p></p> <p></p> <p></p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>	<p></p> <p></p> <p></p> <p>✓</p> <p></p> <p></p> <p></p>	

	ReAct	CAAS Coord	Library	Contr Ofcr
sequence number. <input type="checkbox"/> Obtain Accession Number for Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC).	✓			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Staff/Coordinate ----- Prior to obtaining approval, all efforts should be formally coordinated with the CAAS Coordinator, legal office, resource manager, and procurement office. <input type="checkbox"/> IF THIS IS A HQ AMC PROCUREMENT and is to be executed by PCO at Defense Supply Service – Washington (DSSW), the completed package must be forwarded to HQ AMC Budget Office for assignment of a requisition number and funding approval. ■ Report ----- <input type="checkbox"/> Provide copy of completed procurement package (MDD, SOW, ICE, justification, request forms, etc.) to the command's CAAS Coordinator, as appropriate. <input type="checkbox"/> Provide copy of ALL MDD to HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC (DO NOT send support documents). ■ Update Command Operating Plan (COP) ----- The COP will identify projected and ongoing CAAS and will be updated as changes occur, thus providing the current status of each command's use of consulting services. <input type="checkbox"/> Maintain COP. Schedule 10 of the Command Budget Estimate (CBE) will provide the initial input into the COP. As the tasks identified in Schedule 10 proceed through the process the COP will be updated to reflect any changes. <input type="checkbox"/> Provide copy of COP (and updates) to HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC. ■ Forward Package to Principal Contracting Officer ----- <input type="checkbox"/> IF THIS IS A HQ AMC PROCUREMENT and is being executed by a PCO at DSSW, a contract number will be subsequently assigned to the procurement package by DSSW and transmitted back to HQ AMC on DD Form 1155, Order for Supplies or Services. <input type="checkbox"/> Develop evaluation criteria and convene evaluation board for competitive acquisition. 	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓		

	ReAct	CAAS Coord	Library	Contr Ofcr
3. DEVELOPMENT AND CONDUCT - AWARD, COMPLETION, TERMINATION				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Assist Contracting Officer. ■ If study - appoint Study Director. ■ Monitor modifications to contract. 	 ✓ ✓ ✓	 ✓		 ✓
4. APPLICATION AND EVALUATION				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Evaluate results. ■ Follow-up actions. ■ Evaluation of contractor and Army Management Performance. 	 ✓ ✓ ✓	 ✓		
5. DOCUMENTATION AND REPORTING				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ DD Form 1498 (AR 70-9) or Work Unit Information System Worksheet. (Optional for MPS and ETS.) ■ Control and distribute reports per applicable regulations. ■ Final Report - to servicing Technical Library. 	 ✓ ✓ ✓	 ✓	 ✓	

APPENDIX C

CAAS DETERMINATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Instructions: Review the requirement in the statement of work. Use this questionnaire to determine if the effort falls under the requirements of AR 5-14 and this circular. The CAAS categories are Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations (SAE); Management and Professional Support (MPS); and Engineering and Technical Services (ETS). These services are identified in the annual budget by Element of Resource (EOR). **DO NOT identify CAAS as EOR 252* (Other Services) or EOR 2552 (Commercial Contracts).**

1. Complete and file the CAAS Determination Questionnaire at the end of this appendix, and provide a copy to the CAAS Coordinator.
 - a. If the proposed requirement IS described in items 1-19 in the following table, it is not CAAS and no further questions should be answered.
 - b. If the proposed requirement IS NOT described in items 1-19 in the following table, review the remaining questions and definitions to determine the appropriate CAAS category. Proceed with the guidelines in this circular for processing a CAAS requirement.
2. Throughout the determination process, care must be taken to ensure that there is no embedded CAAS. Embedded CAAS must be identified by EOR and an MDD prepared for only the CAAS portion.

CONTRACTED ADVISORY AND ASSISTANCE SERVICES (CAAS) are services acquired by contract from sources being defined as outside of the U.S. Federal Government, including all Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), foreign governments, state and local governments, tribes, and other nonprofit organizations.

No.	SERVICES EXEMPT FROM CAAS REGULATIONS	CAAS CAT	REFERENCE	FSC	EOR
1	Information technology advisory and assistance services, which have large scale systems acquisition and integration, or large scale software development as their primary focus.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
2	Architectural and engineering (A&E) services as defined the FAR, section 36.102, and 40 U.S.C. 541.	N/A	FAR 36.102; DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
3	Research on theoretical mathematics and basic medical, biological, physical, social, psychological, or other phenomena.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
4	Personnel appointments.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
5	Advisory committees (reported in object class 14 or 16).	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
6	Day-to-day operation of facilities and housekeeping services and functions when done by contract with the private sector or another Federal Government account.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
7	Routine maintenance of systems/software/equipment.	N/A	AR 5-14		
8	Training for individual professional development.	N/A	AR 5-14		
9	Services supporting the policy development, management, and administration of foreign military sales (FMS) that are not paid for with funds appropriated by Congress.	N/A	AR 5-14		
10	Basic operation and management contracts for Government-owned, Contractor-operated (GOCO) facilities.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
11	Clinical and medical services for direct health care.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
12	Printing and reproduction obtained from the private sector or other Federal agencies, including publication of notices; advertising, and radio and television time.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
13	Activities that are reviewed in accordance with OMB Circular A-76, Performance of Commercial Activities.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
14	Initial training services acquired as an integral part of the procurement of weapon systems, ADP systems, equipment or components.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
15	Services in support of Defense Environmental Restoration efforts.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
16	Auctioneers, realty brokers, appraisers, and surveyors.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
17	Information technology systems resources identified in the Schedule 80, "Automation".	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
18	Services to increase the design performance capabilities of new or existing systems.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		
19	Logistics or maintenance support for a system, major component, or end item that is essential to the operation of the system during the period before its final acceptance by the Government.	N/A	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		

MANAGEMENT AND PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT SERVICES. These are services that provide engineering or technical support, assistance, advice, or training for the efficient and effective management and operation of organizations, activities, or systems. These services are closely related to the basic responsibilities and mission of the using organization. They include efforts that support or contribute to improved organization or program management, logistics management, project monitoring and reporting, data collection, budgeting, accounting, auditing, and administrative or technical support for conferences and training programs.					
No.	SERVICES SUBJECT TO CAAS REGULATION	CAAS CAT	REFERENCE	FSC	EOR
20	Federally funded research and development center (FFRDC) management and professional support services provided by FFRDCs. Includes the RAND Arroyo Center, Institute for Defense Analysis, Logistics Management Institute, Aerospace Corporation, MITRE C3I Division, MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Software Engineering Institute, Institute for Advance Technology, and all other FFRDCs listed in Appendix L of this circular.	MPS	AR 5-14 DFAS-IN 37-100-XX	R408	2511
21	Management and professional support services - other than FFRDC. Management and professional support services provided by other than FFRDCs includes contractual services covering a wide variety of technical and managerial services that directly support one or more specific program offices. Also includes policy review and development, technology sharing/utilization, logistic support services, technical data collection, advertising (purchase of TV time, radio or magazine advertising should not be reported here. They should be reported in EOR Code 24Z0) and public relations, and other professional and management services.	MPS	AR 5-14 DFAS-IN 37-100-XX	R408	2512

<p>STUDIES, ANALYSES, AND EVALUATIONS (SAE) are services that provide organized analytic assessments to understand or evaluate complex issues to improve policy development, decision-making, management, or administration and that result in documents containing data or leading to conclusions or recommendations. They can include databases, models, methodologies, and related software created in support of a study, analysis, or evaluation.</p>					
No.	SERVICES SUBJECT TO CAAS REGULATION	CAAS CAT	REFERENCE	FSC	EOR
22	<p>Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations Provided by FFRDCs. Includes RAND Arroyo Center, Institute for Defense Analysis, Logistics Management Institute, Aerospace Corporation, MITRE, MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Software Engineering Institute, Institute for Advanced Technology, and all other FFRDCs listed in Appendix L of this circular. This EOR will be used for both direct and reimbursable funded transactions.</p>	SAE	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX	B5..	2513
23	<p>Studies, analyses, and evaluations provided by other than FFRDCs. Includes management or feasibility studies, technology forecasts, requirements definition, and consulting services which will not produce operating systems software. Also includes costs for environmental assessments and environmental impact statements required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), whether conducted by contract or government (in-house) personnel.</p>	SAE	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		2514

ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL SERVICES. These are services that take the form of advice, assistance, training, or hands-on training necessary to maintain and operate fielded weapon systems, equipment, and components (including software when applicable) at design or required levels of effectiveness.					
No.	SERVICES SUBJECT TO CAAS REGULATION	CAAS CAT	REFERENCE	FSC	EOR
24	Engineering and Technical Services by FFRDCs. Includes RAND Arroyo Center, Institute for Defense Analysis, Logistics Management Institute, Aerospace Corporation, MITRE, MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Software Engineering Institute, Institute for Advanced Technology, and all FFRDCs listed in Appendix L of this circular. This EOR will be used for both direct and reimbursable funded transactions.	ETS	AR 5-14 DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		2515
25	Engineering and technical support services provided by other than FFRDCs. Includes advice, instruction and training to DoD personnel by commercial or industrial companies in the installation, operation, and maintenance of DoD weapons, equipment, and systems. Includes transmitting the knowledge necessary to develop among those DoD personnel the technical skill for installing, maintaining, and operating such equipment at design or required levels of maintenance.	ETS	DFAS-IN 37-100-XX		2516

CONTRACTED ADVISORY AND ASSISTANCE SERVICES (CAAS)
DETERMINATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Using this CAAS questionnaire, I have determined that the requirement is is not CAAS.

IF NOT CAAS, the exclusion was based on paragraph number _____.

CERTIFICATION: _____
CAAS Coordinator Date

Remarks: If requirement is CAAS, prepare a Management Decision Document (MDD) in accordance with AR 5-14, Management of Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services, and AMC-C 5-6, Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (CAAS).

Project Unique Identification Code (PUIC): _____
Element of Resource (EOR): _____
Federal Supply Class(FSC) Code: _____

APPENDIX D

MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

Following are a series of questions to help organizations analyze and review requirements for consulting services contracts.

A. Inherently Governmental Functions.

If the response to either of the following questions is affirmative, the contract requirement is for an inherently Governmental function that must be performed by Government officials:

- (1) Is the requirement for a function that is listed in or closely resembles a function listed in appendix A of OFPP Policy Letter 92-1, Inherently Governmental Functions?
- (2) If not, is the requirement for an inherently Governmental function based on an analysis of the totality of the circumstances discussed in section 7(b) of Policy Letter 92-1?

B. Cost Effectiveness.

If the response to any of the following questions is affirmative, the organization may not have a valid requirement or not be obtaining the requirement in the most cost effective manner:

- (1) Is the statement of work so broadly written that it does not support the need for a specific service?
- (2) Is the statement of work so broadly written that it does not permit adequate evaluation of contractor versus in-house cost and performance?
- (3) Is the choice of contract type, quality assurance plan, competition strategy, or other related acquisition strategies and procedures in the acquisition plan inappropriate to ensure good contractor performance to meet the user's needs?
- (4) If a cost reimbursement contract is contemplated, is the acquisition plan adequate to address the proper type of cost reimbursement to ensure that the contractor will have the incentive to control costs under the contract?
- (5) Is the acquisition plan adequate to address the cost effectiveness of using contractor support (either long-term or short-term) versus in-house performance?
- (6) Is the cost estimate, or other supporting cost information, adequate to prevent the contracting office from effectively determining cost reasonableness?

AMC-C 5-6

(7) Is the statement of work adequate to describe the requirement in terms of "what" is to be performed as opposed to "how" the work is being accomplished?

(8) Is the acquisition plan adequate to ensure that there is proper consideration given to "quality" and "best value"?

C. Control.

If the response to any of the following questions is affirmative, there may be a control problem:

(1) Are there insufficient resources to evaluate contractor performance when the statement of work requires the contractor to provide advice, analysis and evaluation, opinions, alternatives, or recommendations that could significantly influence agency policy development or decision making?

(2) Is the quality assurance plan too general to monitor adequately contractor performance?

(3) Is the statement of work so broadly written that it does not specify a contract deliverable or require progress reporting on contractor performance?

(4) Is there concern that the agency lacks the expertise to evaluate independently the contractor's approach, methodology, results, options, conclusions, or recommendations?

(5) Is the requirement for a function or service listed in appendix B of OFPP Policy Letter 92-1, or similar to a function or service on that list, such that greater management scrutiny is required of the contract terms and the manner of its performance?

D. Conflicts of Interests.

If the response to any of the following questions is affirmative, there may be a conflict of interest:

(1) Can the potential offeror perform under the contract in such a way as to influence the award of future contracts to that contractor?

(2) If the requirement is for support services (such as system engineering or technical direction), were any of the potential offerors involved in developing the system design specifications or in the production of the system?

(3) Has the potential offeror participated in earlier work involving the same program or activity that is the subject of the present contract?

(4) Will the contractor be evaluating a competitor's work?

- (5) Does the contract allow the contractor to accept products or activities on behalf of the Government?
- (6) Will the work, under this contract, put the contractor in a position to influence Government decisionmaking, e.g., developing regulations, that will affect the contractor's current or future business?
- (7) Will the work under this contract affect the interests of the contractor's other clients?
- (8) Are any of the potential offerors, or their personnel who will perform the contract, former agency officials who -- while employed by the agency -- personally and substantially participated in (a) the development of the requirement for, or (b) the procurement of these services within the past 2 years?

E. Competition.

If the response to any of the following questions is affirmative, competition may be unnecessarily limited:

- (1) Is the statement of work narrowly defined with overly restrictive specifications or performance standards?
- (2) Is the contract formulated in such a way as to create a continuous and dependent arrangement with the same contractor?
- (3) Is the use of an indefinite quantity or term contract arrangement inappropriate to obtain the required services?
- (4) Will the requirement be obtained through the use of other than full and open competition?

APPENDIX E
FORMAT
FOR SOLICITING IN-HOUSE CAPABILITY

(OFC SYM) (5-14)

(DATE)

MEMORANDUM FOR

SUBJECT: Soliciting In-house Capabilities in Lieu of Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (CAAS)

1. The AR 5-14 and AMC-C 5-6, Managing Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services, requires activities to fully consider "in-house" capability before contracting for advisory and assistance services.
2. We are soliciting information regarding your organizations' ability to provide the services listed on the enclosed Statement of Work (SOW).
3. Please provide this information to the undersigned NLT (response time).
4. If your organization can provide the services in-house, we will contact your office with further guidance.
5. Negative responses (and reason for negative response) are required.

Encls _____

1. SOW _____
2. CDRL, if appropriate
3. Certification

DISTRIBUTION:

CERTIFICATION

The in-house capability of (Name of Organization), ____ is able/ ____ is not able to perform the services listed on the enclosed SOW.

(Signature of Authorizing Official)

Procedures for conducting an in-house search include:

- a. Send a **memorandum** to a minimum of two AMC or Army agencies that might be capable of performing the required service. (See enclosure.)
- b. Enclose a modified or general **Statement of Work (SOW)**. Also enclose a **Contracts Data Requirements List (CDRL)**, if applicable.
- c. Request certification (in writing) of the surveyed activity's ability to, or not to, perform the service.
- d. Require activities to provide a reason when responding with a negative (required for audit purposes).
- e. If in-house capability is indicated, provide the internal source with a detailed SOW and CDRL (as well as scheduled reviews, delivery dates, starting and ending dates).
- f. If more than one in-house source indicates ability to perform the service, the requiring activity will assess the more capable organization by establishing evaluation factors.
- g. If the requiring activity decides that the service can be performed in-house instead of going contract, transfer the funds budgeted for the CAAS contract will comply with regulatory constraints.
- h. CAAS reporting requirements and controls will not apply when in-house resources are used.
- i. If in-house capability is not available, the Management Decision Document (MDD) will indicate areas that were contacted with the "Certifications" attached to the MDD.
- j. The MDD will be submitted to the CAAS coordinator for processing.

APPENDIX F
 FORMAT -
 MANAGEMENT DECISION DOCUMENT (MDD)

CAAS Category (SAE, MPS, or ETS) - Specific Title of Requirement

DATE:

PUIC:

DTIC: (if applicable)

1. **Purpose.** (e.g., To obtain approval of the requirement for a proposed contract for subject service.)
2. **Discussion.** Provide in this paragraph, or attach as enclosure, the following information:
 - a. A Statement of Work (SOW) [**that clearly identifies/defines this requirement in its scope**] is attached as Enclosure 1.
 - b. Describe the reason for the service.
 - c. **Objectives** of the effort. Include summaries of the proposed tasks and anticipated products. Indicate that detailed descriptions of tasks are in the SOW (Encl 1).
 - d. Explanation of how the service supports the mission of the agency or command.
 - e. Certifications that –
 - (1) The service **does not unnecessarily duplicate** prior or ongoing in-house or contract efforts (Appropriate here is a description of the actions taken to justify the need.).
 - (2) The service is **not an inherently governmental function**.
 - (3) The service **cannot be performed in-house**.
 - (4) **Contract performance is more cost effective** (Appropriate here is a description of the actions taken to satisfy literature search criteria.).
 - f. Whether other than **full and open competition** is planned. If noncompetitive provide name of performer, attach proper justification (see appendix I), and (if available) provide contract and task/delivery order number.
 - g. Description of **control procedures**, including -
 - (1) Description of quantitative and qualitative measures that will be used to evaluate the--

(a) Progress of the contractor.

(b) Quality and effectiveness of the final results and products.

(2) Name, organization, and telephone number (DSN) of agency or command point of contact (**POC**).

(3) Whether a **COR** will be nominated; if so, and if the proposed COR is different from the POC then the COR's name, organization, and telephone number.

(4) Proposed organizational makeup of progress review group.

(5) **Milestone schedule**, including schedules for progress reports and meetings.

h. Anticipated total **cost**, with detailed cost estimate if available.

i. Certify that **funds are available**. Identify funding appropriation and **Element of Resource**.

j. Recommendation of the appropriate Federal Supply Class (**FSC Code**).

3. **Coordination**. The requirement for the proposed contract has been coordinated with the following:

(CAAS Coordinator)

cc/nc

(date)

4. **Recommendation**. Approve the requirement for a proposed contract for subject service.

Encls

(Signed before staffing)
(REQUIRING ACTIVITY POC)
(Signature block)

APPROVED: _____

DISAPPROVED: _____

(Signed after staffing)
(APPROVING OFFICIAL)
(Signature block)

CF: CAAS Cordinator

* DTIC number is required for all STUDIES. See AMC-C 5-6, Reporting.

APPENDIX G

STATEMENT OF WORK

1. **Scope.** (Specify the goal or products desired.)
2. **Background.** Describe the problem. Indicate how the effort relates to --
 - a. The mission of the agency/command.
 - b. Why the work is needed; what it is to accomplish.
 - c. Prior or ongoing in-house or contract efforts.
3. **Tasks.** This is the primary element of the statement of work (SOW). Describe in detail what is to be accomplished. The emphasis should be on what is to be done, not how it is to be done. The SOW should contain enough detail to ensure that the contractor can develop a plan to meet the objectives of the effort and that the Army can measure contractor performance against the objectives. It should not contain such detail as to inhibit the contractor from full use of capabilities and resources.
4. **Deliverables.** List the products to be produced. For each, indicate quantity, place of delivery, and schedule of delivery. All dates in the SOW should be stated relative to the date of contract award.
5. **Control Procedures.** Describe the means that will be used to maintain quality control.
 - a. Reviews. Indicate if progress review meetings will be used.
 - b. Reporting. Specify that the contractor must submit written progress reports to the contracting officer (and COR if used) and the frequency of the reports (for example, monthly, bimonthly, quarterly). Specify the desired contents of the reports, such as technical progress and fund expenditure.
6. **Government-Furnished Support.** Specify facilities (and location), equipment, data, documents, computer software and hardware, and other materials that will be made available for contractor use, and the time schedule.

INSTRUCTIONS
STATEMENT OF WORK

1. **DO NOT USE** any of the following words or combinations thereof: (See MIL-HDBK-245B.)
 - a. **ASSIST.** Assist connotes personal services. Does not define work to be accomplished.
 - b. **AS REQUIRED.** The application of this approach is a work condition undefined. It has no expressed limitations.
 - c. **AS APPLICABLE.** See d, below.
 - d. **AS NECESSARY.** If the Army does not know what is necessary or applicable, it must not leave the unsettled question as to the minimal needs of its requirements to the contractor.
 - e. **AS DIRECTED.** This condition, as a part of a work task in a SOW (paragraph 3), connotes a personal services situation.
2. **AVOID** the use of:
 - a. **SUPPORT.** Doesn't provide, without explicit explanation and direction, what support is needed.
 - b. **ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL SERVICES.** The use of this phrase doesn't scope the areas of need categorically.
 - c. **ON CALL.** If used, must express in terms of on call for what is to be accomplished.
3. **Avoid loopholes.** Adequately describe what is intended.
4. MIL-HDBK-245B contains listing of "**work words**" that will assist the writer in developing a statement of work.

APPENDIX H

FORMAT
INDEPENDENT GOVERNMENT COST ESTIMATE (IGCE)

1. DIRECT LABOR:

a. (List estimated personnel requirements)

b. (Use a separate line for each individual)

2. LABOR OVERHEAD: (90 percent of direct labor)

3. TRAVEL:

a. Transportation.

b. Per diem.

c. Total Travel

4. GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE: (20 percent of total direct labor, labor overhead, travel)

5. FEE (OR PROFIT): (9 percent of direct labor)

6. TOTAL ESTIMATED COST AND FEE OR PROFIT.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR
INDEPENDENT GOVERNMENT COST ESTIMATE

1. The supporting documents for a contract study will include an independent cost estimate (ICE). The estimate should be marked "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY" (unless the nature of the information herein requires a security classification) to prevent unauthorized disclosure.
2. The estimates for direct labor will include the analytical effort to be applied. These estimates represent the amount of time and the levels of contracted analytical and supervisory skills needed for this effort. Civil Service position classification levels may be used for approximate skill levels, and Civil Service pay scales may be used for hourly rate estimates.
3. The labor overhead should be estimated at about 90 percent of direct labor. This factor includes nondirect labor and support and supplies and equipment. These costs may vary with each contractor; but for this estimate, the 90 percent is satisfactory.
4. Travel costs may be estimated using current Army per diem cost guides and civilian transportation cost guides. The number, duration, and purpose of trips anticipated should be stated. Since the location of the successful contractor is usually not known, air or rail costs should be estimated when travel is required outside the local area.
5. General and administrative (G&A) expenses are estimated at about 20 percent of the total of direct labor, labor overhead, and travel. This cost represents an allocation of corporate-level expenses to the study effort. These costs will vary with each contractor; but for this estimate, the 20 percent rate is satisfactory.
6. The fee or profit is estimated at 9 percent of the total of direct labor, labor overhead, travel, and G&A expense. These costs will vary with each contractor, but the 9 percent rate is satisfactory for this cost estimate. (The term "fee" is used for cost-type contracts, while the term "profit" is the proper term for fixed price-type contracts.)
7. The above costs are totaled to provide the ICE. This estimate is used by the contracting officer as described in FAR 15.803(b) and further amplified by AFARS 15.803(b)(90) and (91).

APPENDIX I

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING WHETHER A PROPOSED NONCOMPETITIVE ACQUISITION IS JUSTIFIED AND FORMAT JUSTIFICATION FOR OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING WHETHER A PROPOSED NONCOMPETITIVE ACQUISITION IS JUSTIFIED*

1. There is *only one source* in existence that can perform the contract requirements. The existence of one source should be a matter of fact and not a matter dependent upon the relative and limited knowledge of sources known by the requester or contracting officers. This criterion may not be used to justify a noncompetitive acquisition prior to testing the marketplace by issuing a sources sought synopsis. Such a sources sought synopsis should state that the Government knows of only one source who can do the work, states the name of the source and the work required. If only one source submits a response to the synopsis, this data may be used to support the justification for noncompetitive acquisition.
2. *One source controls* copyrights, patent rights, trade secrets, technical data, secret processes or other *proprietary data*. In the event rights are controlled by one source and this data is essential to the performance of the contract requirements and the source refuses to license or otherwise make the above data available to other sources, and the requirements cannot be revised to allow other sources to complete, factual information should be provided to support this criterion. This information should include citation of copyrights, exactly what is covered by the copyright or other data which is necessary to the contract performance, and why the requirement cannot be revised to permit competition. The mere existence of such rights does not in itself justify noncompetitive acquisition. It must be shown that the Government cannot meet its requirement(s) without the use of the proprietary data. The approval official should seek assistance from the legal advisor.
3. Unsolicited proposals. The fact that an offeror submits an unsolicited proposal containing a unique idea or approach does not in itself justify a noncompetitive acquisition. Mere claims of uniqueness must not be pointed to in justifications for departure from regulatory requirements for competitive acquisitions. The basis for believing that the ideas in an unsolicited proposal are original and significant and the reason for it not being possible to write a competitive request for proposal without compromising the original ideas of the unsolicited proposal should be included in the justification.
4. There is existing equipment that, for reasons of compatibility and interchangeability, requires an item that is manufactured only by one source. This criterion is for use in acquisitions where a particular brand name item is required and an "or equal" will not meet the Government's requirements. This criterion will not be used where there are other manufacturers available who may be able to produce acceptable items even though their products may require minor adjustments and/or modifications. Such other manufacturers must be given the opportunity to compete.

5. The segments of the projects are so intertwined that it is impossible to successfully accomplish the project objectives if all segments are not obtained from the same contractor. This criterion is intended for use under research and development acquisitions as well as studies. It can only be used where there is a necessity to obtain the project as a total package in order to successfully complete the project. This criterion cannot be used where segments of the project can be completed separately. The possibility, by itself, that additional work may be done more conveniently or even at less expense by the original contractor is not sufficient reason to justify a noncompetitive acquisition using this criterion.

* Issued by ASARDA as a result of Initiative No. 32, Increase Competition, Defense Acquisition Improvement Program (DAIP).

FORMAT
JUSTIFICATION FOR OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION

1. GENERAL.

This provides a list of items suggested for use in developing justification for other than full and open competition. The justification must be attached to the management decision document (appendix F) and approved by management when other than full and open competition is proposed. If the overall requirement, including other than full and open competition, is approved by management, then the requirement and justification should be forwarded to the Contracting Officer for decision and action.

2. INFORMATION.

The items listed below can assist in assessing whether other than full and open competition is proper. Those items that apply in an individual case should be addressed.

- a. Name and business of proposed contractor.
- b. Date of first knowledge of the requirement.
- c. Delays in initiating acquisition process.
- d. Latest acceptable start date.
- e. Date when completed effort is required.
- f. Who specified the completion date.
- g. Why no other contractors can meet the completion date.
- h. Impact of a delay in completion of the effort.
- i. Duration of effort.
- j. Why the effort cannot be shortened or lengthened.
- k. Basis for attributing unique capability.
- l. Description of unique/proprietary skills, data, equipment, or processes, and why they cannot be provided to or acquired by other contractors.
- m. Why unique or proprietary skills, data, equipment, or processes are necessary for the effort, rather than means available to other contractors.

AMC-C 5-6

- n. Why a competitive request for proposal or a Commerce Business Daily (CBD) synopsis cannot be written without compromising the original ideas in an unsolicited proposal.
- o. Explanation of how the work would be described in a contract with the proposed contractor to ensure that a fair price would be paid and that the service would be non-personal.
- p. Description of the steps being taken to seek competition in future acquisitions related to the subject matter.

APPENDIX J

CAAS COORDINATOR
MANAGEMENT DECISION DOCUMENT (MDD) CHECKLIST

PUIC NUMBER: _____

MDD TITLE: _____

- 1. This contract does not call for the performance of work of a policy, decision-making, or managerial nature. _____
 - 2. This contract is not being used to bypass or undermine personnel ceilings, pay limitations, or competitive employment procedures. _____
 - 3. This contract is not being used to avoid conflict of interest, proper disclosure and warning provisions have been provided. _____
 - 4. A manageable number of valid objectives have been determined. _____
 - 5. The use and users of anticipated results have been identified. _____
 - 6. Benefits, costs, and risks associated with conducting the effort have been estimated. _____
 - 7. A literature search has been conducted to ensure that a valid requirement exists, objectives are defined, duplicative effort is minimized, and requirement is within the accompanying scope of work. _____
 - 8. Funds for the requirement are available. _____
 - 9. A statement of work has been prepared. _____
 - 10. The requirement has been coordinated with the contracting officer. _____
 - 11. If noncompetitive acquisition is planned, a justification has been prepared. _____
- (The MDD should be returned to the requiring activity for review and rework if any of the above statements are not valid.)

CAAS Coordinator recommends approval of this MDD: _____

APPENDIX K
FORMAT
EVALUATION OF ARMY MANAGEMENT
AND CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE

SUBJECT: (Title).

1. PURPOSE. State the purpose of the effort.
2. CHRONOLOGY. Provide the milestone dates and summary of actions accomplished.
3. BASIC INFORMATION. Provide the following information:
 - a. Sponsor.
 - b. COR and/or sponsor representative (name and organization).
 - c. Contractor/organization name and address, POC name.
 - d. Contracting officer name and organization.
 - e. Contract:
 - (1) Date of award.
 - (2) Date completed or terminated.
 - (3) Final total cost.
4. MAJOR PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED. List.
5. MAJOR ACHIEVEMENTS. List.
6. RESULTS. List the results. Describe the benefits to the Army from having conducted the effort. In general, the value received from the expenditure of resources may be judged by the benefits derived from the effort. Therefore, special care must be taken to describe the present and anticipated benefits. When possible, cost savings or cost avoidance accruing to the Army should be addressed. If definitive cost data cannot be used, well-thought-out quantitative or qualitative measures should be used to describe the benefits. Such benefits should be expressed in simple language easily understood by nontechnical personnel.

7. EVALUATION.

a. Contractor:

(1) Performance.

(2) Product.

b. Overall management of effort by Army.

8. LESSONS LEARNED. List.

9. IMPLEMENTATION OF RESULTS. Provide the names of the agencies or commands implementing the results, the implementation dates, principal milestones, and the action accomplished or products to be provided or published.

10. INFORMATION REPORTS. Date final DD Form 1498 (Research and Technology Work Unit Summary) or Work Unit Information Summary (WUIS) Worksheet was submitted to DTIC.

11. FINAL REPORT. Date copy of final report with Standard Form 298 (Report Documentation Page) was submitted to DTIC, and the DTIC accession number of the report.

APPENDIX L

INSTRUCTIONS - SCHEDULE 10
CONTRACTED ADVISORY AND ASSISTANCE SERVICES (CAAS)

1. GENERAL. Following are guidelines for submitting Schedules 10, Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (CAAS), and 10B, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs). Detailed instructions are published electronically each year. Schedule 10 is used to prepare the President's Budget Exhibit (PB-15), Advisory and Assistance Services, in compliance with DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14-R and OMB Circular A-11.

2. DEFINITIONS.

a. Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services. Those services that are acquired by contract from sources outside of the U.S. Government (including FFRDCs, foreign governments, state and local governments, tribes, and other nonprofit organizations). These services are obtained to support or improve organization policy development, decision making, management and administration; program and/or project management and administration; or to improve the effectiveness of management processes or procedures. These services may take the form of information, advice, opinions, alternatives, analyses, evaluations, recommendations, or training and technical support. The three categories of CAAS are described below. (See DFAS-IN 37-100-XX or Appendix C of this Circular for detailed definitions and resource codes.)

(1) Category I - Management and Professional Support (MPS) Services - Identifier 0001. These are services that provide engineering or technical support, assistance, advice, or training for efficient and effective management and operation of organizations, activities, or systems. These services are closely related to the basic responsibilities and mission of the using organization. They include efforts that support or contribute to improved organization or program management, logistics management, project monitoring and reporting, data collection, budgeting accounting, auditing, and administrative or technical support for conferences and training programs. Obligations for MPS should be identified as EOR 2511 (if performed by FFRDC) or EOR 2512 (non-FFRDC).

(2) Category II - Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations (SAE) - Identifier 0002. These are services that provide organized analytic assessments to understand or evaluate complex issues to improve policy development, decision-making, management, or administration and that result in documents containing data or leading to conclusions or recommendations. They may include databases, models, methodologies, and related software created in support of a study, analysis, or evaluation. Obligations for SAE should be identified in the EOR 2513 (if performed by FFRDC) or EOR 2514 (non-FFRDC).

(3) Category III - Engineering and Technical Services (ETS) - Identifier 0003. These are services that take the form of advice, assistance, training, hands-on training necessary to maintain and operate fielded weapon systems, equipment, and components (including software when

applicable) at design or required levels of effectiveness. Obligations for ETS should be identified in the EOR 2515 (if performed by FFRDC) or EOR 2516 (non-FFRDC).

b. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs). Congressional reporting requirements necessitates the identification of direct resources being programmed and obligated to obtain FFRDC support. The Centers are independent, not-for-profit, ceiling constrained, sole-source corporations which were created to enhance the capabilities of DOD and other Government agencies. DOD uses the centers to conduct specialized studies and analyses, systems engineering efforts, and laboratory research when in-house alternatives, commercial contractors, or other non-FFRDC facilities are not available to adequately perform the work. DOD also verifies the accuracy of all FFRDC data reported in Schedule 10. Improper reporting will subject organizations to a disproportionate share of any funding reductions directed toward FFRDC support by Congress or OSD. Services performed by FFRDC should be identified by EOR 2511 (MPS), 2513 (SAE), or 2515 (ETS).

3. INSTRUCTIONS.

a. Schedule 10 will be prepared for all appropriations (OMA, RDTE, PROC, AWCF) at the program element level of detail. Identify all resources used to obtain all advisory and assistance services. Indicate the specific FFRDC from which services were obtained (see below) or enter "non-FFRDC" as appropriate. Advisory and assistance services acquired from contracts managed by other government agencies and paid for with AMC funds are to be reported in this schedule and are the responsibility of the appropriation manager. In determining whether a contract is for advisory and assistance services, the contracting or executing organization is not relevant. **DO NOT obligate CAAS against EOR 252* (Other Contractual Services) or EOR 2552 (Commercial Contracts).**

b. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers:

No.	Description
0000	Non-FFRDC
0001	Institute for Defense Analysis (IDA), Alexandria VA
0002	National Defense Research Institute (RAND Corp), Santa Monica CA
0003	C3I Federal Contract Research Center (MITRE Corp), Bedford MA and McLean VA
0004	Software Engineering Institute (Carnegie Mellon University), Pittsburgh
0005	Center for Naval Analysis, Alexandria VA
0006	Lincoln Laboratory (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Lexington MA
0007	Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo CA
0008	Project Air Force (RAND Corp), Santa Monica CA
0009	ARROYO Center (RAND Corp), Santa Monica CA
0010	Critical Technologic Institute (RAND Corp), Washington, DC
0011	Center for Advanced Aviation System Development (MITRE Corp), McLean VA
0012	Idaho National Engineering Lab (Lockheed Idah Technologies, Inc), Idaho Falls ID
0013	Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc) Oak Ridge TN
0014	Sandia National Lab (Sandia Corp - subsidiary of Lockheed Martin), Albuquerque NM

No.	Description
0015	Savannah River Laboratory (Westinghouse Electric Corp), Aiken SC
0016	Ames Laboratory (Iowa State University of Science and Technology), Ames IA
0017	Argonne National Laboratory (University of Chicago), Argonne IL
0018	Brookhaven National Laboratory (Associated Universities, Inc), Upton, Long Island NY
0019	Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (Southeastern University Research Assoc) Newport News, VA
0020	Fermi National Accelerator Lab (Universities Research Association, Inc), Batavia IL
0021	Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (University of California), Berkeley CA
0022	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (University of California), Livermore CA
0023	Los Alamos National Scientific Laboratory (University of California), Los Alamos NM
0024	Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Inc) Oak Ridge TN
0025	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (Princeton University), Princeton NJ
0026	Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (Leland Stanford, Jr. University), Stanford CA
0027	National Renewable Energy Research Lab (Midwest Research Institute), Golden CO
0028	Pacific Northwest Laboratories (Battelle Memorial Institute), Richland WA
0029	NCI Frederick Cancer R&D Center (Program Resource, Inc: Advanced Bioscience Laboratories, Inc: Harlan Sprague Data Management Services, Inc), Frederick, MD
0030	Jet Propulsion Laboratory (California Institute of Technology), Pasadena CA
0031	National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center (Cornell University), Arecibo PR
0032	National Center for Atmospheric Research (University Corporation for Atmospheric Research), Boulder CO
0033	National Optical Astronomy Observations (Association for Universities for Research In Astronomy, Inc) Tucson AZ
0034	National Radio Astronomy Observatory (Associated Universities, Inc), Green Bank WV
0035	Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses (Southwest Research Institute), San Antonio TX
0036	Tax Systems Modernization Institute (IIT Research Institute), Lanham MD

APPENDIX M

MATRIX - CAAS MILESTONES AND REPORTS

REPORT / DISTRIBUTION	MPS	SAE	ETS
MDD Contract / HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC (Must be prepared and approved prior to contract initiation.)	X	X	X
MDD Extension / HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC (Must be prepared and approved prior to contract initiation.)			X
Written Evaluation of Contract / Contracting Officer	X	X	X
Standard Form 298 / DTIC (if logistics related, DLSIE also). (Requirement for MPS if effort results in formal report.)	X	X	
Final Report of CAAS effort / Local Library for distribution (Requirement for MPS and ETS if effort results in a formal report.)	X	X	X
Input AMC CAAS Annual Budget Submission / Automated System (Schedule 10 is the basis for input to annual budget submission and the Command Operating Plan.)	X	X	X

APPENDIX N
CHECKLIST
POLICY COMPLIANCE REVIEW

The following checklist will help agencies prepare for inspection of the individual CAAS programs.

1. Has a CAAS Director and a CAAS Coordinator been designated?
2. Who is the POC for Category I, Management/Professional Support Services? Is a copy of AR 5-14 available?
3. Who is the POC of Category II, Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations? Is a copy of AR 5-5 and AMC Supplement 1 to AR 5-5 available?
4. Who is the POC for Category III, Engineering and Technical Services? Is a copy of AR 700-4 available?
5. Are the CAAS Director and CAAS Coordinator aware that advisory and assistance services contracts continue to receive close scrutiny, therefore it is important that the management of advisory and assistance services contracts receive appropriate attention at all levels?
6. Are the CAAS Director and CAAS Coordinator familiar with the definitions of CAAS? Are there any problems with the definitions? Any recommendations on expanding the definitions to ensure better understanding?
7. Have the management and oversight provisions of AR 5-14 been institutionalized?
8. Are procedures established to ensure funds are identified in the annual plan/budget for CAAS?
9. Does the CAAS Coordinator monitor and control the obligation of funds expended for CAAS?
10. Have procedures been established to ensure that CAAS obligations are identified in the annual budget via Schedule 10?
11. Does the CAAS Director oversee the preparation of an annual program and budget for CAAS?

12. Is the organization's CAAS annual program and budget reviewed to ensure it addresses the CAAS efforts performed which were part of the annual plan, and CAAS efforts which were performed and were not part of the organization's submitted plan?
13. Are revised Schedule 10 forwarded to HQ AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC to cover CAAS efforts that were not part of the original submission?
14. Have the appropriate management controls been established to identify all requirements for CAAS?
15. Are all procurement requests screened to identify CAAS?
16. Are contracting officers aware that all contracts for CAAS should be coordinated with the CAAS Coordinator?
17. Are procurement officers aware that CAAS contracts should be approved using a Management Decision Document?
18. Do all awarded procurement requests for CAAS, including ETS, have an approved MDD?
19. Do contracts for CAAS clearly specify the work to be performed, the items to be delivered, and a fixed period of performance?
20. Does the CAAS Coordinator use a checklist to determine if a contract falls under CAAS? How does it compare with the HQ AMC developed checklist?
21. Prior to acquiring CAAS, do proponents assess in-house (Army) capabilities and document on a case-by-case basis, the in-house sources (including other agencies/commands) contacted and the availability of Army employees with the required expertise?
22. Are modifications to an existing CAAS contract undertaken only after considering continuing the work in-house or seeking a new competitive acquisition?
23. Are MDDs reviewed for resource utilization and the need for outside assistance vigorously challenged to ensure that in-house capability assessments are valid and that CAAS are only acquired when essential to the command's mission?
24. Are contracts for CAAS awarded through the use of full and open competition unless the conditions for noncompetitive award are met?
25. Are CAAS obtained on an intermittent or temporary basis, as required, unless there are extraordinary circumstances?
26. Are all CAAS (except in special cases) procured only through a contract dedicated solely to these purposes? If embedded in a predominantly non-CAAS contract, is the CAAS portion separately identified, separately priced, and assigned a separate contract line item number?

27. Is a Project Unique Identification Code (PUIC) assigned to each MDD (and each corresponding Schedule 10)?
28. Is a Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) DD Form 1498 accession number obtained and entered on each MDD and DD Form 1498?
29. Is the applicable Element of Resource (EOR) code included on the MDD and Schedule 10?
30. How are MDD prepared by project/program managers assigned to a Program Executive Office (PEO) handled? Does the PEO sign indicating approval?
31. Are all CAAS procurement requests approved at the authorized level?
32. Has a process been established to review all CAAS procurement requests prior to their release to the contracting office ensuring that the total requirements package (e.g., MDD, SOW, Justification for Other Than Full and Open Competition (if applicable), Statement of Urgency (if applicable)) complies with established policy and regulatory guidance and is in the proper format?
33. Has a central file been established for all MDDs in the command/activity?
34. Are all approved MDD, both locally approved and those requiring approval by higher authority, forwarded to Commander, AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC?
35. Do procurement requests show the category of CAAS to be purchased and an appropriate Federal Supply Class (FSC) Code?
36. Do CAAS solicitations include a statement requiring the bidder/offeror to indicate whether, when, and to what other Government agency they have provided similar services?
37. Is a written evaluation of the results of each completed CAAS (in-house and contract) prepared and (for Category A efforts) shown in Block 26 of the DD Form 1498?
38. Are written management evaluations prepared for all contractual actions within 30 days after completion (or termination) of the contract? Does the evaluation discuss the effectiveness of the contractor in performing the effort and the sponsor's management and support of the effort? Is a copy of the evaluation provided the contracting officer for inclusion in the official file? Is a copy provided Commander, AMC, ATTN: AMCRDA-AC to use in developing annual CAAS report?
39. Are reports, submitted to DTIC and DLSIE (if applicable), timely and accurate? Are other reporting requirements fulfilled?
40. Are the above requirements carried out for all CAAS categories: Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations; Management/Professional Support; and Engineering and Technical Support?

41. Are the project status and funds obligations tracked for each individual contract effort?
42. Are the contract acquisition files deemed to be exempt from the management controls of AR 5-14 documented?
43. Do contracting, purchasing, and finance and accounting offices have sufficient information to enable them to correctly code individual procurement actions, reports, and accounting records?
44. Are copies of the award notifications and evaluations of results and uses of individual contract efforts filed by requiring activities for reference?
45. Is a final report (Standard Form 298, Report Documentation Page) submitted within 30 days of completion of the effort?
46. Are four copies of the final report (which includes the Standard Form 298) provided to the official library supporting the organization? Does the library make distribution to DTIC (two copies) and the Army Library (one copy)? Is one copy retained by the official library supporting the organization/activity?
47. Are copies of the following publications available?

OMB Policy Letter 93-1, Management Oversight of Service Contracting
 OMB Circular A-11, Guidelines for the Use of Advisory and assistance Services
 FAR (Federal Acquisition Regulation)
 DFARS (DOD Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement)
 AFARS (Army Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement)
 AR 5-5, Army Studies, Analyses and Evaluations
 AR 5-14, Management of Contracted Advisory and Assistance Service
 AR 70-9, Army R&D Information System Program Planning & Ongoing Work Reporting
 AR 600-50, Standards of Conduct for Department of the Army Personnel
 AR 700-4, Logistics Assistance Program
 DA PAM 5-5, Guidance for Army Study Sponsors, Sponsor's Study Directors, Study
 Advisory Groups, and Contracting Officer Representatives
 AMC Supplement 1 to AR 5-5

GLOSSARY

SECTION I - ABBREVIATIONS

ADP	Automated Data Processing
AFH	Army Family Housing
ALO	Autorized Level of Organization
AMC	U.S. Army Materiel Command
BPRR	Budget Program Resource Review
CAAS	Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services
CBE	Command Budget Estimate
CBD	Commerce Business Daily
CFSR	Contract Field Services Representative
COP	Command Operating Plan
COR	Contracting Officer's Representative
CPS	Contractor Plan Services
DAIP	Defense Acquisition Improvement Program
DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
DBOF	Defense Business Operating Fund
DCA	Defense Communications Agency
DCAA	Defense Contract Audit Agency
DIA	Defense Intelligence Agency
DLA	Defense Logistics Agency
DLSIE	Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange
DNA	Defense Nuclear Agency
DOD	Department of Defense
DSS-W	Defense Supply Service-Washington
DTIC	Defense Technical Information Center
EOR	Element of Resource
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ETS	Engineering and Technical Support
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FDT	First Destination Transportation
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
FIRMR	Federal Information Resources Management Regulation
FMS	Foreign Military Sales
FPDS	Federal Procurement Data System
FSC	Federal Supply Class
FSR	Field Service Representative

AMC-C 5-6

G&A	General and Administrative
GDIP	General Defense Intelligence Program
GO	General Officer
HBCU	Historically Black Colleges and Universities
HQ	Headquarters
HQDA	Headquarters, Department of the Army
ICLS	Interim Contract Logistics Support
IGE	Independent Government Estimate
ILSP	Integrated Logistics Support Plan
J&A	Justification and Approval
KO	Contracting Officer
LAO	Logistics Assistance Office
LAP	Logistics Assistance Program
LAR	Logistics Assistance Representative
MDD	Management Decision Document
MDP	Management Decision Package
MI	Minority Institutions
MISMA	Model Improvement Studies Management Agency
MOE	Measures of Effectiveness
MOS	Military Occupational Specialty
MSC	Major Subordinate Command
MPS	Management and Professional Support
NET	New Equipment Training
NFIP	National Foreign Intelligence Program
NSA	National Security Agency
NTIS	National Technical Information Service
OASD	Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense
OLE	On-line Edit
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OSD	Office of the Secretary of Defense
PCO	Principle Contracting Officer
PEO	Program Executive Office
POC	Point of Contact
POM	Program Objective Memorandum
PUIC	Project Unique Identification Code

R&D	Research and Development
RDTE	Research, Development Technical Evaluation
SADBU	Small and Disdvantaged Business Utilization
SAE	Studies, Analyses, and Evaluation
SDB	Small Disdvantaged Business
SES	Senior Executive Service
SOW	Statement of Work
SRA	Separate Reporting Activity
TASP	The Army Study Program
TIARA	Tactical Intelligence and Related Activities
TPF	Total Package Fielding
USAAA	U.S. Army Audit Agency
USMC	United States Marine Corps
USN	United States Navy

GLOSSARY

SECTION II - TERMS

Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (CAAS). Those services acquired by contract from sources outside of the U.S. Government, including federally funded research and development centers (FFRDCs), foreign governments, state and local governments, tribes, and other non-profit organizations. These services are obtained to support or improve organization policy development, decision-making, management and administration, program and/or project management and administration, or to improve the effectiveness of management processes or procedures. Detailed guidance on services that are included or excluded from CAAS requirements are in Appendix C. CAAS consists of the following three major categories:

a. **Management and Professional Support (MPS) Services.** Category I services provide engineering or technical support, assistance, advice, or training for the efficient and effective management and operation of organizations or systems. These services closely relate to the basic responsibilities and mission of the using organization. MPS includes efforts that support or contribute to improved organization or program management, logistics management, project monitoring and reporting, data collection, budgeting, accounting, auditing, and administrative and technical support for conferences and training programs.

b. **Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations (SAE).** Category II services are organized, in-depth, analytic assessments needed to understand complex issues and improve policy development, decisionmaking, management, or administration. These services result in documents containing data or leading to conclusions or recommendations. They can include databases, models, methodologies, and related software created in support of a study, analysis, or evaluation.

c. **Engineering and Technical Support (ETS).** Category III services are advice, assistance, training, or hands-on training necessary to maintain and operate fielded weapon systems, equipment, and components, including software when applicable, at design or required levels of effectiveness.

CAAS Director. The Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Research, Development and Acquisition at HQ AMC and the head of staff element at each MSC and SRA designated as the central focal point for the oversight of CAAS.

CAAS Coordinator. A government employee, military or civilian, in the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Research, Development and Acquisition at HQ AMC, and the member of the CAAS Director's staff element at each MSC and SRA designated to execute CAAS oversight functions and performs as the liaison between requiring, resource, and procurement activities for the management of CAAS.

CAAS Contract Point of Contact. A government employee, military or civilian, in the contracting activity of each major subordinate command designated as the point of entry of and central focal point for the management and tracking of all CAAS requirements submitted for contract execution.

Justification and Approval for Other Than Full and Open Competition (J&A). Document is prepared and attached to the MDD and approved by management when noncompetitive acquisition is proposed. The MDD and J&A are forwarded to the Contracting Officer for decision and action.

Management Decision Document (MDD). A written document (letter or memorandum) submitted to a proper management official that seeks approval for initiation of a CAAS effort.

Organization. AMC headquarters, major subordinate commands (and their subordinate activities), and separate reporting activities.

Project Unique Identification Code (PUIC). A nine position code consisting of five positions for the organization, one position for the year the project is first programmed (U=FY00, V=FY01, W=FY02) and a three position sequential number. The PUIC is used to track projects throughout their life cycle. There will not be duplicate PUICs. In the event of duplicates, HQDA MISMA personnel will change the last three numbers. The same PUIC entered on a schedule 10 must be entered in Block 22, DD Form 1498, when the effort (project) is reported to DTIC (and DLSIE if appropriate), and by the contracting office when any contracting action for a study (or MPS) takes place. A sample entry would be: AMCRD-U-001 (organization/program year/sequential log number).

Requiring Activity (ReAct). The organization that initiates a CAAS requirement.

Requiring Activity's Representative. The action officer designated by the sponsoring organization or element head to develop a CAAS requirement (or to monitor a CAAS requirement).

Service Contract. A contract that directly engages the time and effort of a contractor whose primary purpose is to perform an identifiable task rather than to furnish an end item of supply.

Unsolicited Proposal. Written proposal that is submitted to an agency on the initiative of the submitter for the purpose of obtaining a contract with the Government and which is not in response to a formal or informal request (other than an agency request constituting a publicized general statement of needs). (FAR 15.6)

<hr/> A	<hr/> Army Management (9.b.) 13; Appendix K Engineering & Technical Support (9.c.), 13 Exemptions from CAAS (6) 3; Appendix C Explanation of Terms and Abbreviations, (5) 2
Appropriations (5.b.) 3 Approval Authority (7.g.) 8 AR 5-14, Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (3) 2; (8.a.(2)) 10 Architectural and Engineering Services (6.b.) 3 Assessing In-house Capability (7.b.) 5	<hr/> F
<hr/> B	FAR 19.2, Small Business Programs Policies, (7.f) 7; (7.1) 9 FAR 6.3, Other Than Full and Open Competition (7.j) 9; (7.q.) 10; (8.d) 11; Appendix I Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) (5.a) 3; Appendix C; Appendix L; Glossary Federal Supply Class (FSC) Code (7.c(3)) 6; Appendix C Final Report (9.e.), 13
<hr/> C	<hr/> G
CAAS Determination Questionnaire (7.a.(1)(a)) 5; (8.d.(3)),11; Appendix C CAAS Category (7.e.) 6; Appendix C Checklist, MDD (7.c.(1)) 6; (8.d.(2)) 11; Appendix J Competition, Full and Open (7.j.) 8 Command Operating Plan (7.q.), (8.a) 10; (8.d) 12; Contract Modifications (5) 3; (7.c.(3)) 6 Contract Point of Contact (7.h.) 8; (8.b.(3)) 11; (8.e.) 12 Contract Review (7.p.), 10 Coordination (7.f.) 7; (7.g.) (7.h.) 8;	General (4) 2
<hr/> D	<hr/> I
Definitions, CAAS Terms (5) 3; Appendix C; Appendix L; Glossary Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), (7.n.) 9; (8.d) 12; (9.e.) 13 Determination Questionnaire See CAAS Determination Questionnaire DODD 4205.2, Acquiring and Managing Contracted Advisory and Assistance Services (3) 2; Appendix A	Identify CAAS, (7.a) 5 Independent Government Cost Estimate (7.c) 6; (7.i) 8; (7.q) 10; Appendix H Information Technology Services (6.a) 3; In-house Capability (7.b.) 5; (7.m) 9; (8.d.(3)) 12; Appendix E In-house Search: See In-house Capability
<hr/> E	<hr/> J
Element of Resource (EOR) (5.b) 3; (7.c.3(a)) 6; (7.e) 7; Appendix C Embedded CAAS (7.k.) 8 Engineering & Technical Services, (5.a) 3; (7.e) 7; (8.a.(3)) 10; (9.c) 13; Appendix C, Appendix L;Glossary Evaluations:	Justification and Approval For Other Than Full and Open Competition (J&A) (7.q.) 10; Appendix I
<hr/> F	<hr/> K
Army Management (9.b.) 13; Appendix K Engineering & Technical Support (9.c.), 13 Exemptions from CAAS (6) 3; Appendix C Explanation of Terms and Abbreviations, (5) 2	Key Milestones (5.d) 3; Appendix B
<hr/> G	<hr/> L
General (4) 2	Life Cycle Model (8.f.) 13, Appendix B Literature Search (7.d.) 6; Appendix B
<hr/> H	<hr/> M
Identify CAAS, (7.a) 5 Independent Government Cost Estimate (7.c) 6; (7.i) 8; (7.q) 10; Appendix H Information Technology Services (6.a) 3; In-house Capability (7.b.) 5; (7.m) 9; (8.d.(3)) 12; Appendix E In-house Search: See In-house Capability	Management and Professional Support (5.a) 3; (7.e) 7; (9.d) 13; Appendix C; Appendix L; Glossary

Management Controls (7.a) 5; (8.d.(2)) 11;
 Appendix D
 Management Decision Document:
 Format, Appendix F
 Preparation guidelines (7.c) (7.e) 6; App. F;
 Reporting requirement Appendix M
 Matrix Support to PM/PEO (7.m.) 9
 Modifications, Contract: See Contract
 Modifications

N

Nonseverable Services (7.k.(3)) 9

O

Object Classification (4.a) (4.b.) 2
 OFPP Letter 93-1 Appendix A
 Other Than Full and Open Competition: See
 Justification and Approval For Other Than
 Full and Open Competition (J&A)

P

Policy, CAAS (7) 4 ff.
 Policy Compliance Review, Checklist (8.d.(1))
 11; Appendix N
 Preparing Management Decision Document, 4:
 See Management Decision Document (MDD)
 Program Manager and Program Executive
 Office Support (7.m.), 9
 Project Unique Identification Code (7.e.(4)) 7;
 Appendix C; Glossary
 Public Law 102-394 (4.a.) 2; (5.b) 3

Q

Questionnaire, CAAS: See CAAS
 Determination Questionnaire

R

R&D Laboratories and Centers: See Federally
 Funded Research and Development Centers
 (FFRDCs)
 References Appendix A
 Report Documentation (9.e.), 13, Appendix A
 Report requirements (9) 13; Appendix M
 Management Decision Document (9.g.), 14
 Management Evaluation (9.b.), 13
 Engineering & Technical Services (9.c.) 13

Report Documentation (SF 298) (9.e.) 13
 Final Report, Studies Only (9.e.), 13
 Schedule 10, CAAS (9.f.), 13
 Other (9.g.), 13
 Responsibilities, CAAS
 AMC Headquarters (8.a.) 10
 AMC CAAS Director (8.a.(1)) 10
 AMC CAAS Coordinator (8.a.(2)) 10
 DCSLOG (8.a.(3)), 10
 AMC Subordinate Commands (8.b.) 11
 MSC CAAS Director (8.c.), 11
 MSC CAAS Coordinator (8.d.), 11

S

Schedules 10 (CAAS):
 Basis of operating plan (8.a.(2)(d)), 10
 Budget requirement (7.o.) 10
 Coordinator responsibility (8.a.(2)(d)) 10
 Instructions Appendix L
 Scope (2) 2
 Small/Disadvantaged Businesses (7.f.(3)) 7; (7.l)
 9
 SF-298: See Report Requirements
 Statement of Work (SOW) (7) 4; (7.c.(2))
 (7.c.(3)) 6; (7.j) 8; (7.m.(1)) 9; (7.q) 10;
 (8.d.(4)) (8.d.(6)) 12; Appendix G
 Studies, Analyses, and Evaluations (SAE):
 Approval authority (7.g.(3)) 8
 Category (5.a.) 3; (7.e) 6
 Definition Appendix C; Appendix L;
 Glossary
 Reporting to DTIC (7.n.) 9; (9.e.) 13

T

Terms and Abbreviations (5) 2; Glossary

U

U.S. AMC Management Engineering Activity
 (7.b) 5
 U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity (7.b)
 5

W